CmpE 593 Multiagent Systems

Pinar Yolum pinar.yolum@boun.edu.tr

Department of Computer Engineering Boğaziçi University

Agent Communication

Based largely on

Service-Oriented Computing: Semantics, Processes, Agents – Munindar P. Singh and Michael N. Huhns, Wiley, 2004

Interaction and Communication

- Interactions occur when agents exist and act in close proximity:
 - resource contention, e.g., bumping into each other
- Communications are the interactions that preserve autonomy of all participants
- Communications can be realized in several ways, e.g.,
 - through shared memory (if agents are collaborative)
 - because of shared conventions
 - by messaging passing

Rationalistic Tradition

- Orientation
 - Describe the situation in terms of objects and their properties
 - Derive rules that apply to situations
 - Apply the rule to the current situation
- Literal meaning (not context-dependent)
- Hard to use in many settings
 - Example of water in the fridge (Winograd and Flores)
 - "John has never failed a student in Linguistics 265" (Winograd and Flores)



1

Speech Act Theory

- Speech act theory, developed for natural language, views communication as action
- It considers three aspects of a message:
 - Locution, or how it is phrased, e.g., "It is hot here" or "Turn on the air conditioner"
 - Illocution, or how it is meant by the sender or understood by the receiver, e.g., a request to turn on the air conditioner or an assertion about the temperature
 - Perlocution, or how it influences the recipient, e.g., turns on the air conditioner, opens the window, ignores the speaker

Illocution is the core aspect

5

7

Speech Act Theory (2)

- Declaratives: Make the content of the act match reality
- Permissives: Allow an action to be taken
- Prohibitives: Ban an action to be taken

Examples?

Speech Act Theory (1)

- · Assertives: Describe the state of the world
- Directives: Attempt (in varying degrees) to make the other person do something
- Commissives: Commit the speaker (in varying degrees) to a course of actions
- Expressives: Express a psychological state (e.g., apologies).

Speech Act Theory Applied

- Classifications of illocutions motivate message types, but are typically designed for natural language
 - rely on NL syntax,
- Most research in speech act theory is about determining the agents' beliefs and intentions, e.g., how locutions map to illocutions
- · For agents,
 - determining the message type is trivial, because it is explicitly encoded
 - determining the agents' beliefs and intentions is impossible, because the internal details of the agents are not known

Syntax, Semantics, Pragmatics

For message passing

- *Syntax*: requires a common language to represent information and queries, or languages that are intertranslatable
- Semantics: requires a structured vocabulary and a shared framework of knowledge (a shared ontology)
- Pragmatics:
 - knowing whom to communicate with and how to find them
 - knowing how to initiate and maintain an exchange
 - knowing the effect of the communication on the recipient

Informing

How can one agent tell another agent something?

- Send the information in a message (message passing)
- Write the information in a location where the other agent is likely to look (shared memory)
- Show or demonstrate to the other agent (teaching)
- Insert or program the information directly into the other agent (master --> slave; controller --> controllee; "brain surgery")

ACL Semantics

What is the semantics of queries, requests, promises?

- *Mentalist*: each agent has a knowledge base that its messages refer to. An agent promises something if it intended to make that promise
- *Public*: semantics depends on laws, protocols, and observable behavior
- Evaluation: For open systems, public semantics is appropriate, because a semantics without compliance doesn't make sense

10

Querying

How can one agent get information from another agent?

- Ask the other agent a question (message passing)
- Read a location where the other agent is likely to write something (shared memory)
- · Observe the other agent (learning)
- Access the information directly from the other agent ("brain surgery")

11



- Structure-based (syntactic)
 - distinguish messages based on grammatical forms in natural language
- Meaning-based (semantic)
 - distinguish messages based on a notion of intrinsic meaning prohibitive is different from *directive*, despite syntactic similarity
- Use-based (pragmatic)
 - distinguish messages based on their roles in specific classes of protocols
 assertion is different from acknowledgment









Commitments

19

- A commitment is an obligation from one party to another to bring about a condition.
- A unilateral commitment
- *C(x, y, p)*: *x* commits to *y* to bring about *p*.
- C(merchant, customer, receipt)
- A conditional commitment
- CC(x, y, p, q) is a conditional commitment: x commits to y to bring about q if p is brought out first.
- CC(merchant, customer, pay, receipt)

Commitment Protocols

- Protocols enable open systems to be constructed
- · Interaction protocols expressed in terms of
 - Participants' commitments
 - Actions for performing operations on commitments (to create and manipulate them)
 - Constraints on the above, e.g., captured in temporal logic
- Examples: escrow, payment, RosettaNet (107 request-response PIPs)

18

Commitment Operations

- 1. Create(e, x, c) : Establishes the commitment c.
- (I will pay 5YTL to Ali)
- 2. Discharge(e, x, c) : Resolves the commitment c.(I paid 5YTL to Ali)
- 3. Cancel(e, x, c) : Cancels the commitment c.
- (I cancel my commitment to pay 5YTL to Ali)
- *4. Release(e, x, c)* : Releases the debtor from the commitment *c*.
- 5. Assign(e, y, z, c) : Assigns a new creditor, z, to an existing commitment c.
- 6. Delegate(e, x, z, c) : Delegates a new debtor, z, to an existing commitment c. 20







Commitment Protocol

- A protocol specification
- contains a set of *actions* and the commitments and propositions they initiate.
- does not specify any final states.
- does not explicitly state the transitions; transitions follow from operations and reasoning rules on commitments.
- A protocol run
- · specifies the paths between states
- · lists which actions happen and their ordering
- is complete if all unilateral commitments are resolved at the end.



R = [[happens (sendquote (software, H816, 51), t191), happens(sendaccept (software, H816, 51), t190), happens(sendgoods (software, H601, 51), t189)], [before(t191,t), before(t191,t189), before(t191,t190), before(t190,t), before(t190,t189), before(t189,t)]];

R = [[happens (sendaccept (software, H601, 51), t193), happens(sendgoods (software, H601, 51), t192)], [before(t193,t), before(t193,t192, before(t192,t)]]; 25

Compliance with Protocols

In an open environment, agents are contributed by different vendors and serve different interests

- · How can an application check if the agents comply with specified protocols?
 - Coordination aspects: traditional techniques
 - Commitment aspects: representations of the agents' commitments in temporal logic
- · Commitment protocols are specified in terms of
 - Main roles and sphere of commitment
 - Roles essential for coordination
 - Domain-specific propositions and actions

26

Verifying Compliance

- Specification ٠
 - models based on *potential causality*
 - commitments based on branching-time TL
- Run-time Verification ٠
 - respects design autonomy
 - uses TL model-checking
 - local verification based on observed messages

Run-Time Compliance Checking

- An agent can keep track of
 - its pending commitments
 - commitments made by others that are not satisfied
- It uses this local model to see if a commitment has been violated
- · An agent who benefits from a commitment can always determine if it was violated

Ontology

- A specification of a conceptualization or a set of knowledge terms for a particular domain, including
 - The vocabulary
 - The semantic interconnections
 - Some simple rules of inference and logic
- Some representation languages for ontologies:
 - Unified Modeling Language (UML)
 - Resource Description Framework Language Schema (RDFS)
 - Web Ontology Language (OWL)
- · Some ontology editors: Protégé, Webonto, OilEd

29



Common Ontologies

- A shared representation is essential to successful communication and coordination
 - For humans: physical, biological, and social world
 - For computational agents: common ontology (terms used in communication)
- · Representative efforts are
 - Cyc (and Opencyc)
 - WordNet (Princeton)
 - Several upper-level ontologies

30

Knowledge Representation

- Interoperability levels
 - Syntactic: parse
 - Semantic: understand
- · Expressive power
- · Procedural versus declarative
 - Declarative pros: enables standardization, optimization, improved productivity
 - Declarative cons: nontrivial to achieve and causes short-term loss of performance
 - Trade-offs shifted by Web to favor declarative modeling

Relations

- Hierarchies in knowledge representation
- Inheritance (isA) relation
- Part-whole (isPartOf) relation
- Binary relation R between S and T relates zero or more members in S to zero or more members in T
- Partial order between objects
- Antisymmetry:
- Transitivity:

33

<section-header><section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>

Hierarchies • Partially-ordered binary relations • Taxonomy: • *isA* relation denotes subclasses • Ex: A human is a mammal • Antisymmetric and transitive • Meronomy: • *isPartOf* relation denotes one object is a part of another object • Ex: A wheel is part of a car

• Asymmetric and irreflexive

Exercise: Which Conceptualization Has More Expressive Power?

- awg22SolidBlueWire(ID5)
- blueWire(ID5, AWG22, Solid)
- solidWire(ID5, AWG22, Blue)
- wire(ID5, AWG22, Solid, Blue)
- wire(ID5)^size(ID5, AWG22)^type(ID5, solid)^color(ID5, Blue)

Conceptualization

- Guidelines
- Concepts must have instances
- Inference of properties based on membership
- Nonredundancy: Subconcepts must have one different property
- Modularity
- Don't rewrite predicates when adding properties
- Ex: wire(ID5, AWG22, Solid, Blue)
- Extensibility
- Model values as objects
- Ex: permanent (Blue) ^color(ID5, Blue)